effective by inunction in treatment of protein deficiencies and biliary deficiency states, and in specific, virogenic, metabolic, or allergic infections and syndromes.

DISPOSITION: April 1, 1948. Default decree of condemnation and forfeiture. The product was subsequently destroyed.

2381. Misbranding of Ostabs Antiseptic Mouthwash Tablets. U. S. v. 41 Bottles, etc. (F. D. C. No. 23986. Sample No. 18218-K.)

LIBEL FILED: November 28, 1947, Northern District of Ohio.

ALLEGED SHIPMENT: On or about September 8, 1947, by Ostab Laboratories, Inc., from Buffalo, N. Y.

PRODUCT: 41 125-tablet bottles, 82 50-tablet bottles, and 168 20-tablet bottles of Ostabs Antiseptic Mouthwash Tablets at Cleveland, Ohio. Examination showed that the product was not antiseptic when prepared as directed, i. e., "Dissolve One Ostab Tablet in Glass of Water and Stir."

NATURE OF CHARGE: Misbranding, Section 502 (a), the label statement "Ostabs Antiseptic Mouthwash Tablets" was false and misleading as applied to an article which was not antiseptic.

DISPOSITION: April 7, 1948. Default decree of condemnation and destruction.

2382. Misbranding of Ultra-Tone Magic Salve. U. S. v. 87 Tubes, etc. (F. D. C. No. 23953. Sample Nos. 33014-K, 33015-K.)

LIBEL FILED: November 7, 1947, Northern District of California.

ALLEGED SHIPMENT: On or about July 15, 1947, by the Ultra Chemical Products, from Honolulu, Hawaii.

PRODUCT: 87 ½-ounce tubes and 27 14-gram tins of *Ultra-Tone Magic Salve* at San Francisco, Calif. Examination showed that the product contained salicylic acid, benzoic acid, and boric acid, in a petrolatum base.

NATURE OF CHARGE: Misbranding, Section 502 (a), certain statements on the label of the article were false and misleading, since they represented and suggested that the article was efficacious in the treatment and prevention of fungi itch, barbers' itch, insect bites, ringworm, pimples, scabies, eczema, boils, cuts, itchy skin, scaly skin conditions, and irritations caused by external factors, whereas the article was not efficacious in the treatment and prevention of such disease conditions.

DISPOSITION: February 27, 1948. Default decree of condemnation and destruction.

2383. Misbranding of A-1 Salve No. 2, A-1 Salve, and A-1 Sulphur Soap. U. S. v. 66 Cartons, etc. (F. D. C. No. 23189. Sample Nos. 71144-H to 71149-H, incl.)

LIBEL FILED: June 13, 1947, Southern District of California.

ALLEGED SHIPMENT: From Chicago, Ill., by the Wizard Products Co. The products were shipped on or about April 7 and May 9, 1947, and a number of placards were shipped on or about February 7 and April 7, 1947.

Product: 134 cartons each containing a circular headed "A-1 Salve No. 2" and one 2-ounce or 4-ounce jar of A-1 Salve No. 2, 287 cartons each containing a circular headed "A-1 Salve" and one jar of A-1 Salve, and 60 cartons, each containing one cake, of A-1 Sulphur Soap at Los Angeles, Calif., together with a number of placards headed "Skin Disorders or Mycotic Infections," "Wizard Products Company Try A-1 Salve," and "Use A-1 Sulphur Soap." Analyses disclosed that the A-1 Salve No. 2 was an ointment containing a fatty oil, lanolin, ichthammol, and a small proportion of a manganese compound; that the A-1 Salve was an ointment containing petrolatum, lanolin, sulfur, salicylic acid, zinc oxide, and chemically combined iodine; and that the A-1 Sulphur Soap was soap mixed with sulfur.

NATURE OF CHARGE: A-1 Salve No. 2 (2-ounce size). Misbranding, Section 502 (a), certain statements in the circular enclosed with the jars of the article were false and misleading, since they represented and suggested that the article was effective for ulcers due to infections; that it was effective by reason of its ichthammol content in a large variety of skin diseases, especially in acne and furunculosis; that it contained tannic acid, which is the standard treatment for all serious burns; that affected areas of the skin treated with the article would be remedied rapidly; and that the article would be useful in the